## WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

**United States of America** 

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	V.			
Jesus	s Guadalupe Felix-Ramirez	Case Number:	15-9082MJ	
was present	ce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 31 and represented by counsel. I conclude by the detention of the defendant pending tr	y a preponderance of the evidence		
I find by a pr	eponderance of the evidence that:	INDINGS OF TAGE		
$\boxtimes$	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.			
×	The defendant, at the time of the charg	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant conta	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal histo	ory.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imp	risonment.	
The Court at the	Court incorporates by reference the materi time of the hearing in this matter, except as	al findings of the Pretrial Services As noted in the record.	Agency which were reviewed by the	
	СО	NCLUSIONS OF LAW		
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defenda No condition or combination of condition		arance of the defendant as required.	
	DIRECTION	NS REGARDING DETENTION		
in a correction pending appropriate of a confacility shall of	defendant is committed to the custody of the constant one facility separate, to the extent practicable eal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasourt of the United States or on request of an deliver the defendant to the United States N	le, from persons awaiting or serving sonable opportunity for private constantly for the Government, the parshal for the purpose of an appear	g sentences or being held in custody sultation with defense counsel. On person in charge of the corrections	
proceeding.		AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE		
to deliver a constrict Courfrom the date	S ORDERED that should an appeal of this of copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P. are of service of a copy of this order or after the district court. Failure to timely file of R.CRIM.P.	on to Pretrial Services at least one of, effective December 1, 2009, Defe he oral order is stated on the record	day prior to the hearing set before the ndant shall have fourteen (14) days d within which to file specific written	
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.				
DATE:	March 23, 2015	9)	Swillet	
		Unite	Eileen S. Willett ed States Magistrate Judge	